P - Ø 1

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IBM CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT OCT 2 1 2005 11400 BURNET ROAD **AUSTIN, TEXAS 78758** FAX # 512 Number of Pages to Pollow (including cover sheet) SEND TO: United States Patent Office Ene Woods Examiner: Group Art Unit: Tel No: FROM: Tel No: THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS DRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEM om disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the int CIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE ENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OF PYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS imunication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return thi MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU Serial No. 10/728, 165 Atty: Docket No. 80 (92003062 Applicant Transmittal Letter (2 copies) _ Certificate of Facsimile Preliminary Amendment _ Notice of Appeal Amendment AF _ Appeal Brief (3 copies) Ext. of Time _ Reply Brief DS Statement Change of Address Deposit Acct. No.

Fees: Amendment _____ Notice of Appeal

____ Appeal Brief ___

__Other

PAGE 1/5 * RCVD AT 10/21/2005 3:08:59 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-24

OCT-22-05 02:11 AM JB.KRAFT 512 473 8803 PATENT 10/728,165 IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2677 : Examiner: Eric Woods Customer No. 32,329 Doshua G. Twait Intellectual Property Serial No: 10/728,165 : Law Department - 4054 Filed: 12/04/2003 : International Business itle: A COMPUTER DISPLAY : Machines Corporation YSTEM FOR DYNAMICALLY : 11400 Burnet Road MODIFYING STACKED AREA LINE : Austin, Texas 78758 GRAPHS TO CHANGE THE ORDER OR : RESENCE OF A SET OF STACKED REAS IN THE GRAPH ESPECTIVELY REPRESENTATIVE F THE PROPORTIONS CONTRIBUTED TO A TOTAL BY BACH OF A SET OF TIME DEPENDENT VARIABLES CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION I hereby certify that this correspondence including the dresent Response and accompanying Transmittal letter is eing transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2677 at telephone number 571-273-8300, and to the attention f Examiner Eric Woods on Date Commissioner for Patents 0 Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 AUS920030627US1

GE 2/5 * RCVD AT 10/21/2005 3:08:59 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-24

PATENT 10/728,165

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE AFTER EXAMINER INTERVIEW
Applicant thanks Examiner Eric woods and the Primary
Examiner for the telephone Interview had on October 21,

The undersigned has reviewed some of the points made by Examiner and offers the following comments. For a disclosure of the claimed element of "an ordered set of areas under a. ine representative of the total value of said time dependent variable", the Examiner pointed to the bar graph unit 41 with portions 43 and 45 which Examiner is believed to have asserted corresponded to thematic components 34 and 35 of the corresponding linear graph. However, Applicant has read column 6, lines 6-13 describing that graph and flinds that there is not such a correspondence. Portion 43 actually represents the cumulative strength of all themes i.e. both components 34 and 35 while portion 45 represents the cumulative strength of themes not represented in the <u>lllustration</u>. Accordingly, it is not seen what the bar graph would contribute to the issue of obviousness.

Also, in the interview, Applicant believes that Examiner noted Fig. 14 as described in column 25 of the modifying Rao reference. There are two "columns" in the 11 lustrative spreadsheet represented by variable linear graphs in Fig. 4: elements 62 and 64. In element 62, the batting averages of baseball players are shown numerically in the spreadsheet are each graphically represented in a corresponding variation in a linear graph. In element 64, the career batting averages of baseball players are sorted. in the spreadsheet in descending numerical order, and thus are graphically represented in a linear graph which correspondingly descends. The pertinence is not seen as it is not suggestive of any manipulation of the graph itself. It is not clear from the disclosure of Rao but if the AUB920030627US1

PAGE 3/5 * RCVD AT 10/21/2005 3:08:59 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/25 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:512 473 8803 * DURATION (mm-ss):04-24

PATENT 10/728,165

Examiner is asserting that there is a reordering from the graph 62 to the graph 64, it is not the graph itself which is being reordered. In Rao, any changes in the graphs are the result of changes in the ordering of the of the columns and rows of the spreadsheet itself.

Applicant has also read the description of Fig. 8, lens" function in Rao, columns 21 and 22, and still can find anything suggestive of the manipulation by reordering and/or hiding contributing of a time dependent cumulative graph. The best interpretation that can be accorded to Rad is still that it discloses a specific implementation in which graphical images may be rendered in tables of columns and rows for better defined presentation. While the columns and rows may be manipulated and reordered, nothing is suggested about the reordering and manipulation of the graphical images themselves of Rao. It is still submitted that the Rao teaching would lead away from the present invention. Rao converts the visual graphic images into tables because his graphics can not be manipulated or reordered. Thus, the suggestion from Rao is that if you are td manipulate and reorder graphic images, you convert such images to a table format. This leads away from the present invention where the graphic images themselves are manipulated.

The rejection still uses Applicant's disclosure as a guideline, and the picks relatively vague and obscure elements from the lengthy and cumbersome Rao reference to combine with the basic elements of Havre solely based on Applicant's own teaching.

ΑΨ**\$9200**30627US1

3

PATENT 10/728,165

As Applicants suggested in the Interview, if the amendment would result in allowance, Applicants would be willing to amend the independent claims so that the stacked inear graph structure of claim 2 would define the claim coverage.

egistracion No. 19,226 512) 4/13-2303

ALL CORRESPONDENCE SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO:

Jeffrey S. LaBaw IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054 IBM Corporation 11400 Burnet Road Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920030627US1